



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/953,154	10/17/1997	KEITH A. KOZAK	450.154US1	3463

7590 03/27/2003
SCHWEGMAN LUNDBERG WOESSNER & KLUTH
P O BOX 2938
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

VORTMAN, ANATOLY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2835

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Offic Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/953,154	KOZAK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anatoly Vortman	2835	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2003 (CPA and amendment).

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-11,13-18,20 and 21 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-11,13-18,20 and 21 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on 02/25/03 (paper # 28) for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/953,154 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-4, 13-18, 20, and 21, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US/5,835,732 to Kikinis et al., (Kikinis).

Regarding claims 1 and 17, Kikinis disclosed (Fig. 1-6 and 20) a keyboard (60, 151) comprising: a housing having substantially a form factor of personal computer keyboard (Fig. 20); a plurality of keys disposed within the housing; a communication link (26') disposed within the housing (Fig. 6), wherein the communication link (26') is capable of communicating with a computer (66); and,

a connector (14'') operatively coupled to the communication link (26'), said connector disposed within the housing and receptive to a corresponding connector (14) of a PDA device (10) such that the PDA device (10) communicates with the computer over the communication link (26'') when the connectors (14 and 14'') are coupled, (column 4, lines 46+).

Regarding claim 20, Kikinis further disclosed that said PDA device (10) has a touch screen (16), (column 4, lines 56+).

Regarding claims 2-4, 18, and 21, Kikinis disclosed that the housing has a plurality of surfaces (an end surface within the docking bay into which the connector (14') is disposed) defining a cradle cavity (i.e. a docking bay) shaped so that the device (10) fits into the cavity such that at least one surface (front surface) of the device (10) is exposed, (Fig. 5).

Regarding claim 5, Kikinis disclosed that top and bottom surfaces of the device (10) are flush with the corresponding surfaces of the housing, (inherited in the structure, since the device (10) is inserted in a docking bay).

Regarding claims 6-8, and 13, Kikinis disclosed that the communication link may comprise serial cable or infrared (wireless) transceiver (column 1, lines 37+).

Regarding claims 9, 10, and 15, Kikinis disclosed a power source operatively coupled to the connector (14') of the keyboard to recharge a battery (15) of the device (10), (column 6, lines 3+).

Regarding claim 11, Kikinis disclosed that said device (10) is a personal digital assistant (PDA) operable in a docking mode or stand-alone mode (column 4, lines 46+; column 6, lines 3+).

Regarding claim 14, Kikinis disclosed that said device (10) has a touch screen (16) having at least one virtual key (column 4, lines 56+).

Regarding claim 16, Kikinis disclosed that said device (10) is a telephone handset, (column 14, lines 27+).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anatoly Vortman whose telephone number is 703-308-7824. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Darren Schuberg can be reached on 703-308-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3432 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2835

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Anatoly Vortman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2835

A.V.
March 25, 2003

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "A. Vortman".